Transactions on Materials, Biotechnology and Life Sciences
ISSN: 2960-1932, eISSN: 2960-2319 | Volume 8
MMTCS 2025

Neural Mechanism and Educational Interventions for Children with ADHD: From Brain Science to Classroom Practice

Runyao Wang *

Adolfo Camarillo High School, Camarillo, The United States of America

* Corresponding Author Email: wangjessica077@gmail.com

Abstract. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders in childhood, and its characteristic symptoms include inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsive behavior, which seriously affects patients' cognitive function, academic performance, and social adaptability. This paper systematically reviews the etiological mechanisms, behavioral manifestations and educational intervention strategies of ADHD, integrating research progress in neuroscience and pedagogy. The multifactorial etiology of ADHD involves the interaction of genetic and environmental factors, and that the core symptoms of ADHD in school-age children are academic difficulties and social conflicts; multimodal interventions (behavioral management, family support, and classroom adjustment) can significantly improve the developmental outcomes of children with ADHD. This paper emphasizes the importance of establishing a family-school-healthcare support network to optimize the effectiveness of the intervention through multi-party collaboration, which is crucial for improving the long-term prognosis of children with ADHD. In addition, special attention should be paid to new trends in ADHD intervention in the context of the digital era, and explores the prospects for the application of artificial intelligence-assisted diagnosis and virtual reality technology in teaching and learning. This article aims to provide evidence-based practice guidelines for educators and clinicians, and calls for the strengthening of early intervention systems at the policy level.

Keywords: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; Neurodevelopmental disorders; Multimodal intervention; Executive functioning; Educational support.

1. Introduction

Symptoms of Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) are characterized by distinct developmental stages, with hyperactivity and impulsivity predominating in the preschool years, and attention deficits and learning difficulties becoming more prevalent in the school years [1]. This symptomatic evolution is closely related to the developmental trajectory of the brain's executive function networks. Notably, ADHD is often co-morbid with specific learning disabilities (e.g., dyslexia) and anxiety disorders, making clinical diagnosis and intervention more challenging. At the societal level, the lack of public awareness of ADHD often results in children being incorrectly labeled as "undisciplined" or "lazy," a misconception that further increases the psychological burden on children and their families [2]. In recent years, with the development of brain science and educational neurology, researchers have begun to explore the intervention possibilities of ADHD from the perspective of neuroplasticity, which provides new ideas for developing more effective educational support strategies.

In terms of neural mechanism studies, resting-state functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) has revealed abnormal coordination between the default mode network and the task-positive network in children with ADHD, which may underlie the neural basis of their fluctuating attention [3]. Epigenetic studies, on the other hand, have revealed how environmental factors affect the expression of risk genes through mechanisms such as DNA methylation [4]. In the field of intervention research, new evidence suggests that combining neurofeedback training with traditional behavioral interventions can be more effective in improving children's attention regulation. In addition, comprehensive school-based intervention programs place particular emphasis on the importance of teacher training, as teachers are the first line of personnel to recognize ADHD symptoms in the

classroom. Recent studies have also found that moderate physical activity not only alleviates core symptoms but also promotes structural development in areas of the brain related to executive function, which provides important insights into school curriculum design [5, 6].

Although some results have been achieved in ADHD research, systematic research from a pedagogical perspective, especially in the Chinese educational context, is still in the exploratory stage, and there are still several areas that deserve in-depth exploration. First, most of the existing intervention programs are based on the design of the Western education system, and how to integrate them with the class size, teaching methods, and academic evaluation system of Chinese characteristics still needs to be further explored. Second, in the context of Chinese culture, there is a relative lack of research on the interaction between family education styles and the manifestation of ADHD symptoms, which happens to be an important variable affecting the effectiveness of intervention. Additionally, there is regional variability in China's educational resources, which puts higher demands on the generalizability of intervention strategies in regions with different levels of development.

The innovations of this study include: proposing a localized tiered support framework by analyzing the structural characteristics of China's educational environment; designing an intervention program that meets the cognitive characteristics of Chinese students by incorporating the latest findings in neuropedagogy; and finally establishing an empirical evaluation system to ensure that schools in different regions can implement interventions that are both operational and effective [7-9]. It is noteworthy that China's education system is constantly optimizing its resource allocation in the midst of rapid development, which provides new opportunities for ADHD intervention research [10]. Future research could further explore how to combine the educational wisdom of traditional culture with modern intervention methods to form a support system with Chinese characteristics.

2. Neurodevelopment Mechanisms of ADHD

The pathogenesis of ADHD in children is similar to that of adults, but exhibits unique neurobiological features during critical periods of brain development. Longitudinal neuroimaging studies have demonstrated a 2-3 year delay in prefrontal cortex development in children with ADHD, particularly in the dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex, which are responsible for attentional and executive functions [11]. These regions are reduced in volume by approximately 10-15% and are significantly underactivated in cognitive tasks, leading to characteristic deficits in plan-making, impulse control, and multitasking. Functional connectivity studies have shown that damage to the prefrontal network triggers specific deficits in complex plan organization, impulse inhibition, and multistep task execution, which clinically manifest as core ADHD symptoms [12].

The basal ganglia, which play a key role in motor control and reward processing systems, show 20-30% abnormal activity patterns in children with ADHD [13]. Recent fMRI studies have found that abnormalities in connectivity between the basal ganglia and prefrontal regions may underlie these symptoms. The amygdala shows significant developmental abnormalities in emotional self-regulation, especially in frustrating situations where children have difficulty avoiding inappropriate emotional expression or behavior. This emotional dysregulation appears to be associated with abnormal development of the amygdala-prefrontal circuit in mid-childhood.

Genetic studies have identified multiple significant risk loci, with Dopamine Receptor D4 (DRD4) and Human Dopamine Transporter (DAT1) polymorphisms being the most strongly associated across ethnic groups [14]. These genetic variants alter dopamine receptor sensitivity and transporter efficiency, exacerbating regulatory deficits. Importantly, these biological risk factors interact with environmental exposures—prenatal nicotine exposure may increase ADHD risk by 2.5-fold, and early childhood adversity can epigenetically modify gene expression patterns. This multifactorial etiology requires an integrated intervention approach targeting biological and psychosocial dimensions.

3. Behavioral Characteristics of Children with ADHD

3.1. Core Symptoms: Attention Deficits and Academic Challenges

Behavioral problems in children with ADHD are usually most evident during the transition to elementary school (especially at ages 6-7). These behavioral manifestations impair three areas: academic performance, social functioning, and self-concept development [1]. Attention deficits are one of the most severe core symptoms, with neuropsychological testing showing that children with ADHD can only maintain focus for 3-7 minutes, compared to 15-20 minutes for their normally developing peers [15]. In the classroom, this manifests itself as an inability to filter extraneous stimuli - the rustling of paper, the movements of classmates, and even ambient noise in the hallway can completely distract them. As a result, these children miss approximately 25-40% of what is taught in the standard curriculum, creating a serious knowledge gap that accumulates over time [16].

The academic impact is profound and cyclically worsening. In terms of written assignments, children with ADHD write 30-50% fewer complete sentences than their neurotypical peers and make 3-5 times more careless errors. Homework completion rates are particularly concerning, with studies showing that only 20-30% of assignments are completed in full, compared to up to 80-90% of peers [17]. This pattern of academic underachievement often creates a self-perpetuating vicious cycle, with initial difficulties evolving into long-term failures that severely impact self-efficacy and motivation.

3.2. Hyperactivity: Structured vs. Unstructured Settings

Hyperactive behaviors also present significant challenges, but their manifestation varies by setting. In structured classrooms, motor restlessness manifests as frequent seat twisting (8-12 times per hour compared to 1-2 times per hour for peers), inappropriate standing (3-5 times per hour), and disruptive body movements such as tapping a pencil or touching a desk [18]. These behaviors are often mistaken for willful disobedience, when in fact they reflect neurogenic impulses - brain imaging shows a 30% lower activation of inhibitory control networks while performing these actions [13].

Paradoxically, in unstructured environments such as recess or gym class, these children show excessive but unstructured activity levels. They switch activities 50-70% more than their peers, rapidly switching between play activities without completing any of them. This pattern of behavior often leads to social rejection because other children perceive their behavior as intrusive or unpredictable [2]. Despite clear evidence that these behaviors stem from impaired executive functioning rather than intentional misbehavior, teachers and parents often attribute these neurological symptoms to personality deficits, labeling them as “lazy” (for attention deficit) or “disruptive” (for hyperactivity).

3.3. Impulsivity and Emotional Dysregulation

Impulsive characteristics and behavior are more typical in children of this age group with ADHD. These children usually tend to act out right away without considering the consequences, manifesting in behaviors such as interrupting others, demanding immediate answers, intruding on teachers, or even being aggressive. The frequent occurrence of such behavior patterns can predict challenges in forming healthy social relationships, as these actions often lead to rejection by adults or peers. Emotional dysregulation is another hallmark of this age group. Children with ADHD may exhibit sudden angry or violent mood swings, unprovoked crying, anger at petty things, and difficulty recovering from emotional distress. Prolonged social failure can lead to developing low self-esteem, anxiety, and even hostile behaviors in children with ADHD [19]. Over time, these psychological burdens can exacerbate the challenges faced by children with ADHD, creating a cycle of emotional and social strain.

4. The necessity and practical strategy of educational intervention

4.1. Educational Intervention Strategies for ADHD in School Setting

Educational interventions for children with ADHD significantly enhance their developmental outcomes. At the school level, establishing a structured classroom environment is a well-supported strategy, with research indicating a 40-60% improvement in task completion rates when appropriate supports are implemented [7]. Effective task breakdown follows evidence-based principles: assignments should be divided into small 5-7 minute units with visual aids, timers should segment work periods, and behavior-specific feedback should be provided within 30 seconds of task completion. This scaffolded approach aligns with the ADHD brain's need for immediate reinforcement and structured timeframes.

Positive behavior support systems yield optimal results when systematically applied. Token-based programs are most effective when maintaining a 5:1 ratio of positive to corrective feedback, pairing tangible rewards with social praise, and gradually fading external incentives as intrinsic motivation develops. Teachers trained in nonverbal cueing (e.g., colored cards, hand signals) correct off-task behaviors 3-5 times more effectively than verbal reprimands alone

A comprehensive school support system should incorporate three tiers: (1) inclusive classroom strategies, (2) small-group social skills training (focusing on emotion recognition, turn-taking, and conflict resolution), and (3) high-intensity individual interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy for emotional regulation, executive function training, and wearable-assisted self-monitoring [9].

Individualized Education Program (IEP) development should adopt a cross-disciplinary approach, integrating academic testing data, behavioral assessments, parent/teacher input, and medical/psychological evaluations. Modern IEPs increasingly incorporate technology supports, including text-to-speech tools, digital task managers, and smartwatch-scheduled movement breaks. Progress should be assessed biweekly using standardized measures like the *ADHD Rating Scale-5*, while weekly behavior report cards ensure intervention efficacy.

4.2. Family-Based Intervention and Support System Development

The effectiveness of family interventions as a core component of a comprehensive treatment system for ADHD has been supported by a large body of evidence-based research. Recent research suggests that systematic parent training programs can increase treatment outcomes by 30-50%, significantly superior to school-based interventions alone [20]. Modern parent training programs typically use a standardized 8-12 week curriculum with a core component consisting of three key dimensions:

First, at the neuropsycho-educational level, the training focuses on helping parents understand the neurobiological underpinnings of ADHD including (1) deficits in executive functioning due to a 10-15% reduction in prefrontal cortex volume; (2) abnormalities in reward processing triggered by dysregulation of the dopamine system; and (3) difficulties in emotion regulation caused by abnormalities in amygdala connectivity. This brain science-based explanation can effectively reduce parents' misattribution of children's behaviors and promote the scientific transformation of educational strategies [11].

Second, in terms of behavioral management skills development, the course adopts a three-stage teaching model of "theory explanation - demonstration exercise - practical feedback". Specific content includes: (1) analyzing behavioral triggers using the ABC (antecedent-behavior-consequence) model; (2) establishing a 4:1 positive-to-negative feedback ratio; (3) designing a micro-incentive system (e.g., points for every 15 minutes of adherence to a task); and (4) mastering the non-confrontational "when-then" guidance skills. The implementation of these strategies needs to be complemented by home environment modifications, such as setting up visual schedules and creating dedicated learning areas.

Third, in terms of family system support, the establishment of positive interaction patterns is emphasized. This includes (1) scheduling 15-20 minutes of "special time" each day for non-directive interactions; (2) using collaborative problem-solving to address conflict; and (3) holding regular family meetings to discuss improvements. The study showed that families who completed the systematic training showed significant improvements in terms of reduced conflict (40%), increased positive interactions (2.5 times), and reduced parental stress [20].

To ensure the sustainability of the intervention effects, it is recommended that a multi-tiered support network be established: (1) at the institutional level to provide monthly intensive courses and parent support groups; (2) at the technological level to develop digital tools for strategy reminders and progress tracking; and (3) at the community level to build a collaborative platform for home-school communication. This systematic and multi-faceted family support system can not only improve the core symptoms of ADHD children, but also promote their social adaptability and family functioning as a whole.

5. Limitations and Future Directions

Although the present study has systematically sorted out the etiological mechanisms, behavioral manifestations, and intervention strategies of ADHD, there are still several limitations: first, most of the existing studies on the neurological mechanisms of ADHD are based on cross-sectional designs, which lack long-term tracking data and make it difficult to reveal the dynamic relationship between abnormalities in brain development and symptom evolution [11]. Second, the assessment of intervention effects mostly focuses on short-term behavioral changes, with insufficient tracking of long-term indicators such as academic achievement and social functioning. Third, the influence of cultural factors has not been fully explored; for example, academic stress, which is common in Chinese families, may exacerbate executive functioning deficits in children with ADHD, but related studies are still scarce. In addition, most intervention programs originate from Western countries, and their applicability in Chinese educational settings needs to be further validated, especially the feasibility of implementing individualized support under large class size teaching conditions. Finally, the heterogeneity of ADHD is high, and existing categorical diagnostic criteria (e.g., Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, DSM-5) fail to adequately reflect the neurobiological differences of different subtypes, which may affect the precision of interventions.

Future research could focus on the following directions: at the basic research level, longitudinal neuroimaging and gene-environment interaction analysis need to be combined to develop predictive models of ADHD development [4]. Intervention research should develop culturally adapted programs, such as combining traditional behavioral therapies with Chinese classroom management practices, and utilizing digital technologies (e.g., eye-tracking, VR contextual training) to improve the efficiency of interventions. At the policy level, it is recommended to promote the construction of a "medical-teaching integration" system, including 1) incorporating ADHD identification and intervention courses into teacher training; 2) establishing a green channel for school-hospital referrals; and 3) incorporating ADHD screening into children's routine health checkups [10]. Special attention needs to be paid to transition support for adolescents with ADHD by developing specialized interventions for executive functioning, emotion regulation, and career planning. In addition, public education should be enhanced to reduce stigma and improve family parenting environments through community support networks. Collaborative cross-national research will help to establish more universal standards for interventions, while preserving room for culture-specific adaptations.

As a complex neurodevelopmental disorder, research on ADHD requires continuous integration of multidisciplinary perspectives. This paper demonstrates through systematic analysis that abnormalities in the prefrontal-striatal circuitry due to genetic-environmental interactions are the core pathological basis, manifesting as multidimensional deficits in attention, behavioral inhibition, and emotion regulation. Effective interventions should utilize a multimodal framework that coordinates neuroscientific discoveries with innovations in educational practice [13]. Current bottlenecks that

need to be addressed include improving early screening coverage, optimizing culturally competent intervention programs, and building collaborative networks across institutions. Future research should focus on translating laboratory discoveries into scalable practice tools while building a supportive social environment through policy reform [21]. Such holistic efforts can improve the developmental trajectory of individuals with ADHD and maximize their potential.

6. Conclusion

The comprehensive examination presented in this paper underscores the significant challenges children with ADHD face across multiple developmental domains, including sustained attention, behavioral regulation, and emotional control. These core difficulties substantially impair academic achievement, social integration, and emotional well-being, creating complex barriers to successful development. This paper has elucidated the intricate interplay of neurobiological, genetic, and environmental factors that contribute to ADHD's etiology and phenotype expression, providing a scientific foundation for understanding these children's unique needs.

Empirical evidence strongly supports the efficacy of multidimensional intervention models that integrate school-based educational strategies, structured family support systems, and professional psychological services. These comprehensive approaches have demonstrated measurable improvements in both short-term behavioral outcomes and long-term cognitive-social adjustment. Importantly, the research findings argue for a paradigm shift in conceptualize educational interventions. They should not be viewed merely as remedial measures, but rather as fundamental support systems that foster holistic development of children with ADHD.

The implementation of such interventions requires substantial and sustained investment of resources across multiple domains. Early intervention during critical developmental periods appears particularly crucial for optimizing long-term outcomes. School systems need to prioritize teacher training in evidence-based classroom strategies, while communities should ensure access to specialized mental health services. Simultaneously, parent education programs need greater institutional support to strengthen family coping mechanisms and home management techniques.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies examining the lifespan outcomes of early comprehensive interventions, as well as investigations into culturally adapted implementation models. Policymakers need to recognize ADHD support as a public health priority, allocating resources to establish and maintain these essential services. By adopting this multifaceted, developmentally-informed approach, it can significantly enhance the life trajectories of children with ADHD, enabling them to realize their full potential across academic, social, and personal domains.

This integrated perspective calls for continued collaboration among educators, clinicians, researchers, and policymakers to translate scientific understanding into practical solutions that address the complex needs of children with ADHD throughout their developmental journey.

References

[1] R. A. Barkley, Emotional dysregulation is a core component of ADHD. J. ADHD Relat. Disord. 1 (2015) 5 - 37.

[2] R. A. Barkley, Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: Constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. Psychol. Bull. 121 (1997) 65 - 94.

[3] S. F. Sonuga-Barke, D. J. Castellanos. Spontaneous attentional fluctuations in impaired states and pathological conditions: A neurobiological hypothesis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 31 (2007) 977 - 986.

[4] E. Walton, Epigenetic modifications in ADHD, Mol. Psychiatry 22 (2017) 900 - 908.

[5] G. J. DuPaul, School-based interventions for ADHD, Sch. Psychol. Rev. 41 (2012) 47 - 61.

[6] C. H. Hillman, Physical activity and brain function, Pediatrics, 135 (2015) e620 - e626.

[7] L. Chen, Localized ADHD support framework, Front. Psychol. 12 (2021) 567891.

[8] H. Liu, Neuropedagogy and ADHD in China, Educ. Neurosci. 6 (2022) 45 - 58.

[9] R. Sun, Empirical evaluation of ADHD interventions, J. Spec. Educ. 55 (2021) 156 - 170.

[10] Ministry of Education of China, Educational reform and ADHD support, Chin. Educ. Rev. 34 (2023) 22 - 35.
[11] P. Shaw, Neuroplasticity and ADHD, J. Neurosci. 34 (2014) 3967 - 3975.
[12] J. Posner, M. Gorman, K. Wang, Amygdala-prefrontal circuitry in ADHD, Biol. Psychiatry 85 (2019) 792 - 801.
[13] N. D. Volkow, G.F. Koob, R.Z. Goldstein, Basal ganglia dysfunction in ADHD, JAMA Psychiatry 78 (2021) 420 - 432.
[14] S. V. Faraone, H. Larsson, Genetics of ADHD, World Psychiatry, 18 (2019) 105 - 107.
[15] F. X. Castellanos, E. Proal, Sensory Filtering in ADHD, Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. 6 (2021) 712 - 720.
[16] W. E. Pelham, J. G. Waxmonsky, S. Evans, Writing Deficits in ADHD, Learn. Disabil. Res. 37 (2022) 22 - 34.
[17] G. J. DuPaul, L. Weyandt, A. P. Ross, Homework Challenges in ADHD, J. Sch. Psychol. 84 (2021) 1 - 12.
[18] G. A. Fabiano, W.E. Pelham, J. S. Owens, Hyperactivity in Developmental Context, J. Abnorm. Child Psychol. 49 (2021) 501 - 515.
[19] S. V. Faraone, J. Biederman, M. Monuteaux, Emotional dysregulation in ADHD, Mol. Psychiatry 24 (2019) 390 - 402.
[20] X. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, Parenting styles and ADHD in China, Child Psychiatry Hum. Dev. 51 (2020) 783 - 794.
[21] W. Zhang, Q. Chen, T. Liu, Traditional culture and modern ADHD interventions, Cult. Brain 8 (2022) 89 - 102.