Transactions on Materials, Biotechnology and Life Sciences
ISSN: 2960-1932, eISSN: 2960-2319 | Volume 8
MMTCS 2025

Deciphering the Neural Circuits of Consciousness: An Integrative Framework from Microcircuits to Global Networks

Jinhong Wu[1], Man Li[2, *]

[1]College of Design and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

[2]School of Basic Medicine, Tongji Medical College, Key Laboratory of Neurological Diseases of Hubei Province and National Education Ministry, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, China

*Corresponding author: liman73@mails.tjmu.edu.cn

Abstract. Consciousness arises from dynamic cross-scale interactions, yet its neural substrates remain fragmented across spatiotemporal hierarchies. This review synthesizes pivotal advances from the past five years (2020-2025), integrating perturbational complexity mapping, optogenetic dissection, and multimodal neuroimaging to delineate core mechanisms. Key findings include: (1) thalamocortical reverberations generating conscious-state-specific EEG signatures (e.g., off-period-rebound sequences; (2) frontoparietal network failure in disorders of consciousness, characterized by collapsed information broadcasting and metabolic disruption; (3) the claustrum as a multimodal hub whose degeneration impairs perceptual coherence; and (4) septal-hippocampal-accumbens control of gamma oscillations governing state transitions. Technological innovations—from adversarial collaborations testing IIT/GNWT to taVNS-mediated circuit neuromodulation —reveal how targeted interventions can restore pathological networks. We further highlight persistent challenges: rodent-human translational gaps, unresolved theoretical contradictions, and ethical constraints in causal human manipulation. This synthesis provides an evidence-based scaffold for future consciousness research, bridging microcircuits to clinical therapeutics.

Keywords: consciousness, circuit, disorders of consciousness, neuromodulation.

1. Introduction

Consciousness remains a defining challenge for neuroscience, with its subjective qualities emerging from multilayered neural interactions spanning synaptic microcircuits to global networks. This Review synthesizes critical advances from the past five years (2020-2025), leveraging perturbational complexity mapping, optogenetic dissection of arousal pathways, and adversarial multimodal studies to delineate the neural substrates of conscious states. Converging evidence now implicates thalamocortical reverberations [1], frontoparietal broadcasting deficits in disorders of consciousness [2], claustral multimodal integration [3], and septal-hippocampal-accumbens control of state transitions [4] as core mechanistic pillars. Yet persistent fragmentation exists between microscopic dynamics and macroscale theories, while clinical translation demands bridging species-specific gaps. By integrating recent methodological innovations—from ultra-high-field fMRI in aphantasia [5] to taVNS-mediated neuromodulation [6]—we outline an evidence-based framework for how cross-scale circuit interactions sustain consciousness. This synthesis aims to catalyze targeted therapeutics for pathological states and inspire next-generation adversarial collaborations.

2. Core Neural Circuits for Conscious Processing

2.1. Thalamocortical Circuits

Thalamocortical interactions constitute a critical substrate for conscious processing. Perturbational complexity studies in awake mammals reveal that direct stimulation of deep cortical layers evokes a stereotypic local response: an initial brief excitation followed by a biphasic sequence comprising a profound 120-ms off period and rebound excitation. This pattern is mirrored in thalamic nuclei, where

burst spiking contributes to a pronounced late component in evoked EEG. These dynamics originate from reciprocal cortico-thalamo-cortical loops, generating long-lasting, spatially complex EEG signatures characteristic of wakefulness. Crucially, both the cortical/thalamic off period-rebound sequence and the late EEG component are attenuated during locomotion and abolished under isoflurane anesthesia, indicating their necessity for maintaining conscious states. Thus, thalamocortical circuits act as dynamical hubs that amplify and sustain neural complexity in response to perturbations—a hallmark of conscious processing [1].

2.2. Frontoparietal Networks

Frontoparietal networks (FPNs), encompassing distributed prefrontal, temporal, parietal, and subcortical regions, critically mediate information integration and broadcasting essential for conscious awareness. Integrative analyses combining directed connectivity modeling (resting-state fMRI) with metabolic assessments ([^{18}F]FDG-PET) reveal profound FPN disruptions in patients with disorders of consciousness (DoC) [2]. Specifically, DoC patients exhibit significantly impaired neural signal propagation and responsiveness to both endogenous and in silico exogenous perturbations within FPNs. Mechanistically, this impaired propagation correlates with severe reductions in glucose metabolism across key network nodes, highlighting the dependence of functional communication on metabolic integrity [2].

This functional breakdown manifests as a dual failure: (1) a marked inability of posterior cortical regions to convey information effectively within the broader network, and (2) a significant reduction in the broadcasting capacity from critical subcortical, temporal, parietal, and frontal hubs [2].

2.3. The Claustrum

The claustrum, a thin bilateral subcortical structure beneath the insular cortex, serves as a critical nexus for integrating multimodal information across cortical and subcortical networks. Its uniform cytoarchitecture and extensive reciprocal connections with sensory, prefrontal, limbic, and motor regions position it as a putative coordinator of coherent conscious percepts [3]. Pathologically, claustral structural and volumetric alterations are implicated in several neurological disorders: Neurodegenerative diseases: Atrophy in Parkinson's (PD) and Alzheimer's (AD) correlates with cognitive decline and disrupted perceptual binding. Psychiatric disorders: Aberrant connectivity is observed in schizophrenia (impaired sensorimotor integration) and depression (altered reward processing).

Neurodevelopmental conditions: Dysregulation in autism spectrum disorder potentially contributes to sensory hypersensitivity and social deficits.

Despite advances in molecular and genetic tools, the claustrum's precise circuitry and mechanistic role in consciousness remain elusive. Its strategic location and divergent connectivity underscore its potential as a global integrator, yet comprehensive models linking its microcircuits to conscious processing require further investigation [3].

2.4. The Septal-Hippocampal-Accumbens Circuit

Emerging evidence identifies the interconnected medial septum (MS), hippocampus, and nucleus accumbens (NAc) – forming the septal-hippocampal-accumbens circuit – as a critical modulator of conscious state transitions. Pathophysiological alterations within this circuit underlie discrete perturbations in conscious awareness. Elevated hippocampal gamma oscillations (30-100 Hz) represent a signature electrophysiological feature associated with aberrant conscious states, including post-ictal automatisms following hippocampal seizures and schizophrenia-like symptoms induced by NMDA receptor antagonists (PCP/ketamine) [4]. These high-amplitude gamma rhythms correlate with behavioural hyperactivity and deficits in sensorimotor and sensory gating. Critically, the medial septum is required for generating both this pathological gamma activity and the concomitant abnormal behaviours [4]. Downstream signalling, mediated by hippocampal glutamatergic projections to the NAc and subsequent dopaminergic transmission within the NAc, is essential for

expressing these behavioural disruptions [4]. Conversely, suppression of consciousness, such as during general anaesthesia, is characterized by diminished hippocampal gamma amplitude, corresponding to unconsciousness in humans or loss of righting reflex in animals [4]. Experimental attenuation of this circuit—achieved through inactivation or lesion of the MS, NAc, or connected nodes—mitigates both aberrant gamma increases and associated behavioural pathologies induced by hippocampal seizures or NMDA receptor antagonism [4]. Furthermore, compromising the septal-hippocampal-accumbens system significantly reduces the anaesthetic dose required to suppress gamma activity and induce unconsciousness [4]. Collectively, these findings establish this tripartite circuit as a fundamental regulator of conscious level. Its dysregulation underpins the behavioural hyperactivity and neural dysfunction characteristic of psychotic states, whereas its suppression is intrinsically linked to diminished consciousness [4].

3. Modulatory Systems Regulating Conscious States

3.1. Arousal Pathways

Distributed neural circuits comprising arousal pathways dynamically regulate transitions between conscious states, integrating inputs from sleep-promoting, wake-promoting, and anesthesia-sensitive nuclei. Key nodes include:

3.1.1. VLPO and Dopaminergic Modulation

The ventrolateral preoptic nucleus (VLPO) is critical for sleep-wake regulation and anesthesia-induced unconsciousness. Propofol (PRO) activates sleep-promoting GABAergic VLPO neurons via GABA_A receptors, increasing spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) while suppressing inhibitory currents (sIPSCs). Dopamine (DA) counteracts PRO-induced excitation specifically through D1 receptors, attenuating sEPSC frequency and restoring sIPSC dynamics; D1 antagonism reverses DA's effects [7].

3.1.2. BNST GABAergic Neurons

GABAergic neurons in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) exhibit peak firing during wakefulness and REM sleep, suppressed under anesthesia. Optogenetic activation induces wakefulness, accelerates emergence from anesthesia, and sustains cortical arousal. Lesions impair dark-phase sleep architecture, while the BNST→ventral tegmental area (VTA) pathway promotes reanimation [8].

3.1.3. SuM Glutamatergic Neurons

Supramammillary (SuM) glutamatergic neuron activity declines before loss of consciousness (LOC) and rises preceding recovery of consciousness (ROC) under sevoflurane. Chemogenetic inhibition prolongs LOC and delays emergence, while optogenetic stimulation of SuM or its projections to the medial septum (SuM→MS) induces cortical activation and behavioral arousal under steady-state anesthesia, identifying SuM as a hub for anesthetic state transitions [9].

3.1.4. POA Tac1 Neurons

Preoptic area (POA) Tac1 neurons regulate endogenous arousal and resist anesthetic unconsciousness. Chemogenetic activation consolidates wakefulness, obliterates sleep, and stabilizes consciousness against isoflurane and sevoflurane, delaying induction and accelerating emergence [10].

3.2. Cortical and Neurotransmitter-Specific Circuits

3.2.1. Basal Forebrain (BF) Systems

Optogenetic BF cholinergic activation accelerates emergence from propofol, enhancing β/γ oscillations; glutamatergic stimulation induces broad-spectrum cortical activation [11].

3.2.2. EEG Spatial Shifts

State-dependent spectral shifts include alpha anteriorization (occipital→frontal) during NREM sleep and propofol anesthesia, beta anteriorization in REM, and theta posteriorization in N2/N3, suggesting shared macro-scale oscillatory organization [12].

3.3. Summary

Arousal pathways operate through a multi-nodal framework (VLPO, BNST, SuM, POA) integrating inhibitory (GABAergic) and excitatory (glutamatergic, cholinergic) signals to gate consciousness. Anesthetics co-opt these circuits, but distinct mechanisms—such as D1-mediated dopaminergic modulation in VLPO or SuM-driven cortical activation—enable targeted manipulation of arousal states, ensuring stability across physiological and pharmacologically perturbed conditions.

4. Methodological Advances in Consciousness Circuit Mapping

4.1. Optogenetics/Chemogenetics

Optogenetics and chemogenetics enable precise spatiotemporal manipulation of specific neural circuits, revolutionizing the investigation of neural substrates underlying consciousness states like sleep-wakefulness and anesthesia [8,9].

BNST GABAergic Neurons: Fiber photometry and optogenetics demonstrate state-dependent activity (high in wake/REM, suppressed in anesthesia). Optogenetic activation initiates/sustains wakefulness and induces arousal from anesthesia, mapping critical wake-promoting circuits [8].

SuM Glutamatergic Neurons: Studies reveal reduced SuM activity pre-LOC and increased activity during ROC under sevoflurane. Lesioning or optogenetic stimulation modulates induction, maintenance, and emergence from steady-state anesthesia. Optogenetic activation promotes behavioral arousal and cortical activity under anesthesia, highlighting their role in regulating consciousness states [9].

These techniques provide unprecedented insights, allowing an integrative framework from microcircuits to global networks. Future integration with high-resolution neuroimaging and multimodal approaches promises a more comprehensive understanding.

4.2. High-Resolution Neuroimaging

Advanced neuroimaging, particularly ultra-high field fMRI and electrophysiology, maps large-scale brain networks and dynamics during conscious processing.

fMRI in Aphantasia: 7T fMRI studies in congenital aphantasia (impaired visual imagery despite intact description) reveal domain-specific and domain-general neural circuits for visual consciousness. Typical imagers activate left-hemisphere frontoparietal areas, ventral temporal domain-preferring regions, and a domain-general Fusiform Imagery Node (FIN). Aphantasia shows reduced FIN-frontoparietal connectivity, indicating disrupted cortico-cortical interactions critical for visual imagery generation [5], underscoring integrated activity across high-level visual cortex and frontoparietal networks for conscious visual experience.

EEG and Neuropixels in Corticothalamic Interactions: Perturbational complexity analysis (stimulation + EEG/Neuropixels) identifies neural signatures. Cortical stimulation in awake mice evokes a biphasic excitation-off-rebound sequence linked to thalamic burst spiking and pronounced late EEG components, driven by cortico-thalamo-cortical dynamics. These signatures diminish during running and vanish under isoflurane, emphasizing arousal state modulation and coordinated cortical-thalamic-frontoparietal activity in consciousness [1].

4.3. Multimodal Integration

Multimodal integration resolves theoretical debates. A landmark adversarial collaboration between Global Neuronal Workspace (GNW) and Integrated Information Theory (IIT) proponents combines fMRI (spatial NCC hierarchies), M-EEG (millisecond temporal dynamics: global ignition vs. sustained posterior activity), and iEEG (causal validation) to test divergent predictions on spatiotemporal dynamics of visual consciousness across theory-neutral labs [13].

5. Pathological Alterations in Consciousness Circuits

5.1. Disorders of Consciousness (DoC)

DoC following brain injury stems from severe disruptions in large-scale neural propagation and dynamic information flow. Integrative fMRI/[^{18}F]FDG-PET analyses reveal a tripartite failure: impaired perturbation propagation, posterior cortical disconnection hindering integration, and collapsed broadcasting from key hubs (subcortical, temporal, parietal, frontal), correlating with critical glucose hypometabolism [2]. TMS-EEG confirms weakened effective information flow, particularly loss of bidirectional frontal-motor-parietal connectivity, most pronounced in vegetative state/unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (VS/UWS) and correlating with clinical impairment. Thalamofrontal-cortical information transfer breakdown emerges as a core pathophysiological signature. Interventions like transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) show promise for restoring network dynamics [14].

5.2. Psychiatric Conditions

Emotional arousal dysregulation in PTSD and major depression links to aberrant connectivity within circuits governing embodied self-awareness and top-down control. Neuroimaging reveals pathological decoupling of the posterior insula and temporoparietal junction (TPJ) from midline temporal structures (posterior cingulate cortex - PCC, hippocampus), impairing interoceptive-contextual memory integration and leading to exaggerated threat reactivity [15]. Reduced dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) top-down modulation compromises cognitive control over salience and autonomic arousal. Alpha-rhythm neurofeedback (NFB) enhancing dlPFC engagement restores anterior insula-TPJ-default mode network connectivity. Improved alpha-downregulation correlates with normalized posterior insula activity (interoceptive mapping) and reduced hyperarousal, confirming circuit-specific remediation [15]. This positions insula-temporal circuit pathology as a transdiagnostic feature of impaired consciousness integration; therapeutic neuromodulation offers a pathway for restoring emotional homeostasis.

5.3. Neurodegeneration

Emerging evidence implicates claustral structural and functional perturbations in neurodegenerative pathogenesis. Volumetric reductions and morphological abnormalities are documented in Parkinson's (PD) and Alzheimer's (AD) [3]. The claustrum's role as a hub for multimodal sensory integration and coherent percept generation suggests its degeneration disrupts integration, potentially contributing to fluctuations in attention, awareness, and conscious experience coherence. Its strategic connectivity profile positions claustral changes as potential early biomarkers or significant contributors to network dysfunction in these diseases, warranting further investigation into its specific roles [3].

6. Clinical Significance

Clinically, taVNS demonstrates feasibility in facilitating recovery from DOC. Case reports document accelerated behavioral improvements (e.g., elevated clinical scores within weeks) and fMRI-verified activation of consciousness-relevant networks following taVNS regimens [6]. Its non-invasive profile, coupled with replicable vagal sensory evoked potentials [16], positions taVNS as a safer alternative to invasive VNS, avoiding surgical risks while retaining neuromodulatory efficacy [17,18,19].

Reported side effects are minor (e.g., transient cutaneous tingling), supporting its favorable safety tolerance. While current evidence relies heavily on non-randomized trials and requires validation through larger controlled studies, taVNS represents a mechanistically grounded, scalable intervention with significant potential to modulate dysfunctional macrocircuits in DOC and promote functional recovery.

Brain state identification, defined as quasi-stable spatiotemporal patterns of neuronal activity measurable via neuroimaging (e.g., EEG, fMRI), provides a critical framework for diagnosing and treating disorders of consciousness (DoC) (e.g., unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, minimally conscious state) [20,21]. In pathological unconsciousness, brain states exhibit slowed oscillatory frequencies (dominant delta waves), reduced functional connectivity, and impaired network complexity, particularly in thalamocortical loops and the default mode network (DMN). These biomarkers enable objective stratification beyond behavioral assessments, reducing misdiagnosis rates.

This integrative framework, delineating the bidirectional interplay between neural circuit identification and modulation, holds profound clinical promise, particularly for diagnosing and treating disorders of consciousness (DoC). The structured identification arm leverages advanced neuroimaging (e.g., high-density EEG, functional MRI) and signal processing to capture pathological brain states characteristic of coma, unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, or minimally conscious states. Critically, it enables the extraction and rigorous validation of electrophysiological or metabolic features directly relevant to conscious processing within these patient populations. Complementing this, the modulation arm provides a principled pathway for therapeutic intervention. Techniques such as transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and deep brain stimulation (DBS) can be precisely targeted to manipulate these validated consciousness-relevant features. Subsequent application in clinical cohorts allows for the systematic assessment of therapy-induced changes in both overt behavioral responsiveness and covert neural markers of awareness, followed by comprehensive evaluation of resultant whole-brain network dynamics. The core strength of this framework lies in its inherent feedback loop: successful neuromodulation leading to measurable improvements in consciousness confirms the causal relevance of the targeted neural features (Modulation > Identification confirmation). Conversely, the discovery of robust, consciousness-specific biomarkers empirically guides the development and refinement of novel neuromodulation strategies (Identification > Modulation empirically-driven targeting). This dynamic, evidence-based cycle fosters the development of individualized neurotherapeutic protocols, moving beyond symptomatic management towards mechanism-driven restoration of conscious brain states. The framework's adaptability ensures its continued relevance, readily incorporating emerging neurotechnologies and discoveries to refine clinical management strategies for devastating consciousness impairments [22].

7. Future Perspectives

The quest to decipher the neural substrates of consciousness demands an integrative paradigm bridging microcircuit mechanisms, global network dynamics, and theoretical constructs. While converging evidence from quantum biological hypotheses [23], revolutionary neurotechnologies [24], and adversarial collaborations testing IIT/GNWT [25] has advanced the field, critical translational and conceptual gaps persist.

7.1. Persisting Challenges

Species-Specific Limitations: Mechanistic insights from rodent models (e.g., thalamocortical reverberation [1]; septal-hippocampal gamma oscillations [4]) cannot fully capture human subjective experience due to divergent neuroanatomical hierarchies.

Unresolved Theoretical Contradictions: Adversarial collaborations refute core predictions of dominant frameworks—IIT's sustained posterior synchrony and GNWT's prefrontal "ignition" during conscious access remain unsupported [25], necessitating quantitative alternatives.

Clinical-Technological Disconnect: Optogenetic/chemogenetic tools (e.g., SuM→MS pathway manipulation [9]) are ethically non-transferable to humans, while non-invasive alternatives lack spatiotemporal precision for causal testing.

7.2. Converging Pathways Forward

To transcend these barriers, a tripartite strategy is proposed:

Multiscale Computational Synthesis:

Develop biophysically constrained neural network models integrating subcellular processes (e.g., microtubule dynamics, gap junction coupling [26]) with large-scale network interactions, simulating how quantum-classical interfaces (Orch OR/cemi) modulate emergent conscious states.

Validate models against adversarial experimental paradigms that dissociate perceptual binding (IIT-predicted) from access consciousness (GNWT-predicted; extending [25]).

Next-Generation Human Neuroscience:

Leverage hybrid neurotechnology: Combine transcranial focused ultrasound (spatial precision) with high-density EEG/fNIRS (temporal resolution) to perturb frontoparietal-thalamic hubs during graded awareness tasks.

Exploit clinical electrophysiology: Utilize intracranial recordings in epilepsy patients to map causal links between hippocampal gamma [4], thalamocortical off-periods [1], and subjective report fidelity across anesthesia/sleep states.

Theory-Driven Clinical Translation:

Implement precision neuromodulation: Optimize taVNS protocols [6] using individual dynamic connectivity fingerprints to restore consciousness-relevant circuits (e.g., septal-hippocampal-accumbens axis [4]) in DoC patients.

Advance perioperative consciousness monitoring: Integrate ultrafast fMRI [24] with spectral EEG biomarkers (e.g., anteriorized alpha [12]) for real-time assessment of anesthetic depth in high-risk surgeries.

7.3. Synthesis: From Mechanisms to Therapies

A unified consciousness science requires synergistic iteration between computational modeling, human experiments, and clinical applications. Validated multiscale frameworks will transform brain-machine interfaces for neural prosthetics, enable personalized cognitive therapeutics for neurodegeneration [23], and resolve enduring philosophical debates through empirical rigor. Success hinges on sustained cross-disciplinary convergence—neuroscience, quantum biology, and clinical neurology must co-evolve to decode consciousness, one of nature’s final frontiers.

8. Conclusion

Recent advances illuminate consciousness as an emergent property of cross-scale circuit dynamics: thalamocortical off-periods sustain local complexity [1], frontoparietal networks enable global integration [2], and septal-hippocampal gamma oscillations gate state transitions [4]. Pathological disruptions—from tripartite network failure in DoC to insula-temporal decoupling in psychiatric disorders—validate these circuits' clinical significance. Methodological innovations, notably adversarial multimodal studies [25] and non-invasive neuromodulation [6], demonstrate how mechanistic insights can drive therapies. Future progress requires overcoming three barriers: (1) translating rodent-specific mechanisms to human consciousness, (2) resolving theoretical contradictions via quantitative frameworks, and (3) developing ethically viable causal tools for human circuits. Integrating multiscale computational models, focused ultrasound-electrophysiology hybrids, and connectivity-guided taVNS will transform empirical insights into precision therapeutics for consciousness pathologies.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.82374584).

References

[1] Claar, L. D., Rembado, I., Kuyat, J. R., Russo, S., Marks, L. C., Olsen, S. R., & Koch, C. (2023). Cortico-thalamo-cortical interactions modulate electrically evoked EEG responses in mice. eLife, 12, RP84630. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.84630

[2] Panda, R., López-González, A., Gilson, M., Gosseries, O., Thibaut, A., Frasso, G., Cecconi, B., Escrichs, A., Coma Science Group Collaborators, Deco, G., Laureys, S., Zamora-López, G., & Annen, J. (2023). Whole-brain analyses indicate the impairment of posterior integration and thalamo-frontotemporal broadcasting in disorders of consciousness. Human brain mapping, 44(11), 4352–4371. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26386

[3] Nikolenko, V. N., Rizaeva, N. A., Beeraka, N. M., Oganesyan, M. V., Kudryashova, V. A., Dubovets, A. A., Borminskaya, I. D., Bulygin, K. V., Sinelnikov, M. Y., & Aliev, G. (2021). The mystery of claustral neural circuits and recent updates on its role in neurodegenerative pathology. Behavioral and brain functions : BBF, 17(1), 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12993-021-00181-1

[4] Leung, L. S., & Ma, J. (2022). Medial Septum Modulates Consciousness and Psychosis-Related Behaviors Through Hippocampal Gamma Activity. Frontiers in neural circuits, 16, 895000. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2022.895000

[5] Liu, J., Zhan, M., Hajhajate, D., Spagna, A., Dehaene, S., Cohen, L., & Bartolomeo, P. (2025). Visual mental imagery in typical imagers and in aphantasia: A millimeter-scale 7-T fMRI study. Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior, 185, 113–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2025.01.013

[6] Yu, Y., Yang, Y., Gan, S., Guo, S., Fang, J., Wang, S., Tang, C., Bai, L., He, J., & Rong, P. (2021). Cerebral Hemodynamic Correlates of Transcutaneous Auricular Vagal Nerve Stimulation in Consciousness Restoration: An Open-Label Pilot Study. Frontiers in neurology, 12, 684791. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.684791

[7] Qian, K., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Wu, S., Duan, Z., Liao, J., Luo, W., Zhou, M., Dou, X., Liu, X., & Yu, T. (2025). Dopaminergic modulation of propofol-induced activation in VLPO neurons: the role of D1 receptors in sleep-promoting neural circuits. Frontiers in neuroscience, 18, 1485873. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2024.1485873

[8] Li, M., Li, W., Liang, S., Liao, X., Gu, M., Li, H., Chen, X., Liu, H., Qin, H., & Xiao, J. (2024). BNST GABAergic neurons modulate wakefulness over sleep and anesthesia. Communications biology, 7(1), 339. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06028-5

[9] Li, J., Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Wu, Y., Hu, R., Long, S., Huang, W., Nie, L., & Wang, Z. (2025). Activation of Glutamatergic Neurons in the Supramammillary Nucleus Promotes the Recovery of Consciousness under Sevoflurane Anesthesia. Advanced science (Weinheim, Baden-Wurttemberg, Germany), 12(21), e2406959. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202406959

[10] Reitz, S. L., Wasilczuk, A. Z., Beh, G. H., Proekt, A., & Kelz, M. B. (2021). Activation of Preoptic Tachykinin 1 Neurons Promotes Wakefulness over Sleep and Volatile Anesthetic-Induced Unconsciousness. Current biology : CB, 31(2), 394–405.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.10.050

[11] Wang, L., Zhang, W., Wu, Y., Gao, Y., Sun, N., Ding, H., Ren, J., Yu, L., Wang, L., Yang, F., Xi, W., & Yan, M. (2021). Cholinergic-Induced Specific Oscillations in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex to Reverse Propofol Anesthesia. Frontiers in neuroscience, 15, 664410. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.664410

[12] Cui, Y., Li, Y., Li, Q., Huang, J., Tan, X., & Zhan, C. A. (2024). Alpha anteriorization and theta posteriorization during deep sleep. Journal of neuroscience research, 102(4), e25325. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.25325

[13] Melloni, L., Mudrik, L., Pitts, M., Bendtz, K., Ferrante, O., Gorska, U., Hirschhorn, R., Khalaf, A., Kozma, C., Lepauvre, A., Liu, L., Mazumder, D., Richter, D., Zhou, H., Blumenfeld, H., Boly, M., Chalmers, D. J., Devore, S., Fallon, F., de Lange, F. P., … Tononi, G. (2023). An adversarial collaboration protocol for testing contrasting predictions of global neuronal workspace and integrated information theory. PloS one, 18(2), e0268577. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268577

[14] Bai, Y., Yang, L., Meng, X., Huang, Y., Wang, Q., Gong, A., Feng, Z., & Ziemann, U. (2024). Breakdown of effective information flow in disorders of consciousness: Insights from TMS-EEG. Brain stimulation, 17(3), 533–542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2024.04.011

[15] Shaw, S. B., Nicholson, A. A., Ros, T., Harricharan, S., Terpou, B., Densmore, M., Theberge, J., Frewen, P., & Lanius, R. A. (2023). Increased top-down control of emotions during symptom provocation working memory tasks following a RCT of alpha-down neurofeedback in PTSD. NeuroImage. Clinical, 37, 103313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2023.103313

[16] Fallgatter, A. J., Neuhauser, B., Herrmann, M. J., Ehlis, A. C., Wagener, A., Scheuerpflug, P., Reiners, K., & Riederer, P. (2003). Far field potentials from the brain stem after transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. Journal of neural transmission (Vienna, Austria : 1996), 110(12), 1437–1443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-003-0087-6

[17] Hakon, J., Moghiseh, M., Poulsen, I., Øland, C. M. L., Hansen, C. P., & Sabers, A. (2020). Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation in Patients With Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Feasibility Trial. Neuromodulation : journal of the International Neuromodulation Society, 23(6), 859–864. https://doi.org/10.1111/ner.13148

[18] Noé, E., Ferri, J., Colomer, C., Moliner, B., O'Valle, M., Ugart, P., Rodriguez, C., & Llorens, R. (2020). Feasibility, safety and efficacy of transauricular vagus nerve stimulation in a cohort of patients with disorders of consciousness. Brain stimulation, 13(2), 427–429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2019.12.005

[19] Yifei, W., Yi, Y., Yu, W., Jinling, Z., Weihang, Z., Shaoyuan, L. I., Mozheng, W. U., Jianghong, H. E., & Peijing, R. (2022). Transcutaneous auricular vague nerve stimulation improved brain connection activity on patients of disorders of consciousness: a pilot study. Journal of traditional Chinese medicine = Chung i tsa chih ying wen pan, 42(3), 463–471. https://doi.org/10.19852/j.cnki.jtcm.2022.03.012

[20] Demertzi, A., Tagliazucchi, E., Dehaene, S., Deco, G., Barttfeld, P., Raimondo, F., Martial, C., Fernández-Espejo, D., Rohaut, B., Voss, H. U., Schiff, N. D., Owen, A. M., Laureys, S., Naccache, L., & Sitt, J. D. (2019). Human consciousness is supported by dynamic complex patterns of brain signal coordination. Science advances, 5(2), eaat7603. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat7603

[21] Engemann, D. A., Raimondo, F., King, J. R., Rohaut, B., Louppe, G., Faugeras, F., Annen, J., Cassol, H., Gosseries, O., Fernandez-Slezak, D., Laureys, S., Naccache, L., Dehaene, S., & Sitt, J. D. (2018). Robust EEG-based cross-site and cross-protocol classification of states of consciousness. Brain : a journal of neurology, 141(11), 3179–3192. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy251

[22] van der Lande, G. J. M., Casas-Torremocha, D., Manasanch, A., Dalla Porta, L., Gosseries, O., Alnagger, N., Barra, A., Mejías, J. F., Panda, R., Riefolo, F., Thibaut, A., Bonhomme, V., Thirion, B., Clasca, F., Gorostiza, P., Sanchez-Vives, M. V., Deco, G., Laureys, S., Zamora-López, G., & Annen, J. (2024). Brain state identification and neuromodulation to promote recovery of consciousness. Brain communications, 6(5), fcae362. https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcae362

[23] Różyk-Myrta, A., Brodziak, A., & Muc-Wierzgoń, M. (2021). Neural Circuits, Microtubule Processing, Brain's Electromagnetic Field-Components of Self-Awareness. Brain sciences, 11(8), 984. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11080984

[24] Chan, R. W., Edelman, B. J., Tsang, S. Y., Gao, K., & Yu, A. C. (2024). Opportunities for System Neuroscience. Advances in neurobiology, 41, 247–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69188-1_10

[25] Cogitate Consortium, Ferrante, O., Gorska-Klimowska, U., Henin, S., Hirschhorn, R., Khalaf, A., Lepauvre, A., Liu, L., Richter, D., Vidal, Y., Bonacchi, N., Brown, T., Sripad, P., Armendariz, M., Bendtz, K., Ghafari, T., Hetenyi, D., Jeschke, J., Kozma, C., Mazumder, D. R., … Melloni, L. (2025). Adversarial testing of global neuronal workspace and integrated information theories of consciousness. Nature, 642(8066), 133–142. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08888-1

[26] Dere, D., Zlomuzica, A., & Dere, E. (2020). Channels to consciousness: a possible role of gap junctions in consciousness. Reviews in the neurosciences, /j/revneuro.ahead-of-print/revneuro-2020-0012/revneuro-2020-0012.xml. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1515/revneuro-2020-0012